IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 626 of 2001

Between:

1 S.Narasaiah, S/o. Kasaiah,
R/o. Lingampally, Munipally Mandal,
Medak District.
2 A.Sreenivasulu, S/o. Bala Kistaiah,
R/o. Gollapally Makkarajpet Mandal,
Medak District.
3 B.Sanjeeva Rao, S/o. Shantaiah,
R/o. Chivanpoor Village, Kandi (P),
Sangareddy Mandal, Medak District.
4 J.Praveen Kumar, S/o. Pentaiah,
R/o. NGO'S Colony, Sangareddy,
Medak District.
5 P.Sreenivasulu, S/o. Sreeramulu,
R/O. Poohunoor Village, Peddavoora Mandal,
Nalgonda District.
6 B.Sattaiah, S/o. Kotaiah,
R/o. Nuthankal, Nalgonda District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1. Life Insurance Corporation of India, Rep.by its Manager(P&IR) Division Office, Jeevanprakash,
Secretariat Road, Hyderabad.

2. Employment Officer, Employment Exchange,
Sangareddy, Medak District.

3. Employment Officer, Employment Exchange,
Nalgonda District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus declaring the Sub-Rule III of Rule 6 of the Life Insurance Corporation of India Staff Service Regulation is as unconstitutional, arbitrary, unfair and unreasonable as the said Rule would enable the respondents to restrict the Public employment to those candidates whose names have sponsored through the Employment Exchange only ignoring rights of other who could not approach the Employment Exchange and the impugned Rule is contrary to the judgment of the Supreme Court reported in 1996(6) SCC 216 and consequently direct the respondents to allow the petitioners for interviews for the post of Watchman/Liftman/Drivers scheduled to be held on 20/1/2001 or any other subsequent date.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.M.S.K.PRABHU

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.D.S.V.G.NAGARAJU

The Court made the following O R D E R:

O R D E R:

Learned counsel for petitioner called absent. Learned Standing Counsel for respondents submitted that in view of the interim orders granted by this Court on 20-1-2001, the cause in the writ petition does not survive and it has become infructuous.

Recording the same, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

30-6-2005

TVK

To

1. The Manager(P&IR) Division Office, Life Insurance Corporation of India,
   Jeevanprakash,
   Secretariat Road, Hyderabad.

2. The Employment Officer, Employment Exchange,
   Sangareddy, Medak District.

3. The Employment Officer, Employment Exchange,
   Nalgonda District.

4 2 CD copies

5 1 CC to MR.NAGARAJU

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**ANURADHA**}*